Examiner-Initiated Interview Summ		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	aan/	09/882,271	KAUFFMAN, GEC	ORGE M.
Examiner-initiated interview Summ	ary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	İ	James A Demakis	2836	
All Participants: Status of Application:				
(1) <u>James A Demakis</u> .		(3)		
(2) Irving M. Kriegsman.		(4)		
Date of Interview: 10 December 2003		Time:		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:				
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed:				
Claims discussed: 9,21 Prior art documents discussed:				
Part II.				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet				
Part III.				
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 				
(Examiner/SPE Signature)	(Applicant/	Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appro	priate)

Application No. 09/882,271

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Approval was given by Applicant's representative for an Examiner's amendment as follows: Amendment of 10/15/2003 incorporated original claim 9 as part of new claim 23; inadvertently, claim 9 was not removed from the overall claims listing.

Claim 21 is changed to read, as follows: "The protective device of claim 19 wherein said spring, together with the protective device, provides a current path from the inner conductor to the outer conductor."